UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,795	03/09/2004	George Tzong-Chyi Tzeng	16641-002001 3168		
69713 OCCHIUTI RO	7590 07/03/2007 DHLICEK & TSAO, LLP		EXAMINER		
10 FAWCETT	STREET	·	SUHOL, DMITRY		
CAMBRIDGE	, MA 02138		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			07/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/796,79	5	TZENG, GEORGE TZONG-CHYI				
		Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		Dmitry Suh	oi	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THE 36(a). In no ever will apply and will be cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be time  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a) <u></u>	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 March 2007</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ <b>Applicat</b> i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-61 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4,9 and 11-21 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 5-8 and 10 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examinet The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinet Control of the oath	er. epted or b)[ drawing(s) bettion is require	quirement.  objected to by the E held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` '			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/04.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:								

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-21 in the reply filed on 3/15/2007 is acknowledged. Claims 22-61 have been withdrawn as directed to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 16, the Markush terminology is improper as it uses the term comprising instead on consisting.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 1-3, 12-14, 16-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Su et al (20020183830). Su discloses a method for generating a medical device containing all of the claimed limitations including, providing a coil having a plurality of loops (50), for each of the primary loops forming a secondary loop on the primary loop (figure 1, loops 30). Limitations of claim 2 are shown in figure 1. Limitations of claim 3 are encompassed in paragraph (0021). Limitations of claims 12 and 18 are inherent in Su. Limitations of claims 13-14, see figures 1 and 3a.

Claims 1-3, 12, 16-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mariant et al '277. Mariant discloses a method for generating a medical device containing all of the claimed elements including providing a coil having a plurality of primary loops, for each of the primary loops forming a secondary loop on the primary loop (figures 1-2 and 15A-15C). Limitations of claim 2 are shown in figures 1-2 and 15A-15C. Limitations of claim 3 are encompassed by figures 15A-15C. ). Limitations of claims 12 and 18 are inherent in Mariant.

Claims 1-2, 12, 15-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens et al '724. Stevens discloses a method for generating a medical device containing all of the claimed elements including providing a coil having a plurality of primary loops, for each of the primary loops forming a secondary loop on the primary loop (figure 11B). Limitations of claim 2 are shown in figure 11B.). Limitations of claim

Application/Control Number: 10/796,795

Art Unit: 3725

12 and 18 are inherent in Stevens. As broadly claimed, limitations of claim 15 are shown in figures 15A-15C.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su et al (20020183830) in view of Hyodoh et al '750. Su discloses a method of manufacturing a prototype stent through winding and twisting a flexible member about a mandrel and posts and further states that easier production methods may be developed. Hyodoh discloses a stent and method for its manufacture which teaches that a clip tool (712) may be used in the manufacture of a stent by gripping a primary loop portion and rotating the clip to make the process of twisting flexible material that makes up the stent easier and faster (col. 17, lines 20-22). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a clip like that of Hyodoh to make the process of twisting of Su easier and faster.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su et al (20020183830) in view of Bolea et al '291. Bolea is relied upon to teach that it is known to utilize a hook in an environment like that of Su and to rotate such a hook to there by

Art Unit: 3725

form a loop portion on a primary loop (figures 10-11). Therefore since Su states that other easier method may be utilized to produce his loops, it would have been obvious to utilize a hook to manufacture his loop to make the process faster and easier.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su et al (20020183830). The requirement that the loop is an open loop is considered a design choice in that applicants do not state any criticality to such a design and therefore the limitation does not serve to distinguish and it appears that a closed loop stent would function just as well as an open loop stent.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 3725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

ds

Application/Control Number: 10/796,795

Page 7

Art Unit: 3725